

Excavator Use as Crane

Business Name:		ABN:
Business Address:		
Contact Person:	Phone:	Email:

THIS RISK ASSESSMENT IS APPROVED BY THE PCBU ON THIS PROJECT

Under the Work Health and Safety Regulation (WHS Regulation), a person conducting a business or undertaking (PCBU) is required to ensure that a RISK ASSESSMENT is prepared before the proposed work starts.

Full Name:		
Signature:	Title:	Date:

CLIENT OR PRINCIPAL CONTRACTOR DETAILS

Client:	SCOPE OF WORKS
Project Name:	
Project Address:	
Project Manager:	
Contact Phone:	
Date Risk Assessment supplied to Project Manager:	



RISK MATRIX									
LIKELIHOOD	INSIGNIFICANT	MINOR	MODERATE	MAJOR	CATASTROPHIC	SCORE	ACTION	HIERARCHY OF CONTROLS	
ALMOST CERTAIN	3 HIGH	3 HIGH	4 ACUTE	4 ACUTE	4 ACUTE			Elimination Remove the hazard.	
LIKELY	2 MODERATE	3 HIGH	3 HIGH	4 ACUTE	4 ACUTE	4A ACUTE	DO NOT PROCEED	Substitution Replace the hazard.	
POSSIBLE	1 LOW	2 MODERATE	3 HIGH	4 ACUTE	4 ACUTE	3H HIGH	Review before work starts.	Isolation Isolate People from the hazard	
UNLIKELY	1 LOW	1 LOW	2 MODERATE	3 HIGH	4 ACUTE	2M MODERATE	Ensure control measures in place.	Engineering Isolate the hazard	
RARE	1 LOW	1 LOW	2 MODERATE	3 HIGH	3 HIGH	1L LOW	Monitor and keep records.	Administrative Change	
								PPE	

Risk Rating & Required Action:	
4A	Stop work. The risk is intolerable. Eliminate the hazard or redesign the activity before proceeding. A Safe Work Method Statement (SWMS) or higher-level authorisation is required.
3H	Review and approve additional controls before task starts. Senior supervisor sign-off needed.
2M	Ensure all nominated controls are in place and effective. Proceed with caution; monitor conditions.
1L	Proceed, following standard operating procedures. Monitor and keep records.

Consequence Scale:			
Consequence	People (injury/illness)	Project / Assets	Compliance / Reputation
Catastrophic	Fatality or permanent total disability	project shutdown	Significant regulator intervention; criminal prosecution
Major	Serious injury/illness (hospital > 5 days)	critical delay	Improvement notice; major media coverage
Moderate	Medical-treatment injury; lost-time > 1 day	moderate delay	Minor breach; adverse client comment
Minor	First-aid only, no lost time	negligible delay	Isolated non-conformance
Insignificant	No injury	no schedule impact	Deviation caught and corrected on site

Notes on Hierarchy of Controls:
Remember to apply controls in the preferred order shown by the coloured pyramid:

1. **Eliminate**
2. **Substitute**
3. **Isolate**
4. **Engineering**
5. **Administrative**
6. **PPE**

Always document **why** a lower-order control is accepted if elimination or substitution is not reasonably practicable.

aligned with Safe Work Australia's Managing the risk of fatigue at work (2023) and ISO 45001:2018 clauses 6–8.

JOB STEP	POTENTIAL HAZARDS	IR	CONTROL MEASURES	RR
SPECIFIC WORK STEPS	HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE	INITIAL RISK	SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS	RESIDUAL RISK
1. Governance, Legal Compliance and PCBU Duties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of clear organisational policy on using excavators as cranes leading to ad hoc decisions and inconsistent practices Failure to recognise that an excavator being used as a crane may be 'plant that lifts or suspends loads' and a potential item of high risk plant under WHS Regulations Inadequate understanding by officers and senior managers of their due diligence obligations under the WHS Act 2011 in relation to lifting operations Failure to identify and comply with relevant Australian Standards and Codes of Practice (e.g. AS 1418, AS 2550 series, Safe Design of Structures, Managing Risks of Plant in the Workplace Code of Practice) No documented risk assessment specific to 'excavator use as crane' across the organisation, leading to inconsistent site-level controls Inadequate consultation with workers, HSRs, contractors and principal contractors regarding the use of excavators as cranes Poor integration of lifting risk controls into overall WHS management system, project WHS plans and contractor management frameworks Inadequate process to verify that subcontractors and plant hirers have appropriate systems, competencies and insurances for lifting activities Lack of clear criteria for when excavator use as a crane is prohibited and when purpose-built cranes are mandatory 	High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop and implement a corporate 'Lifting Operations and Use of Excavators as Cranes' policy that defines when excavators may or must not be used for lifting, aligned with WHS Act 2011, WHS Regulations and applicable Australian Standards Undertake and document a formal, organisation-wide WHS risk assessment specifically addressing excavator use as a crane with periodic review and endorsement at executive level Ensure officers remain in due diligence requirements under WHS Act 2011, including specific briefing duties relating to plant and lifting operations Maintain a legal and standards register that identifies all legislative and standards requirements relevant to excavators and lifting with assigned responsibility for monitoring updates and communicating changes to operations Integrate excavator-as-crane controls into the WHS management system, including policy, procedures, templates, audit tools and induction content Require project-specific lifting management plans where excavators may be used as cranes, including justification for their use, limits of operation and verification of control measures Implement a structured consultation process (toolbox talks, HSR forums, pre-start meetings) to review and refine controls for excavator lifting tasks and capture worker feedback Embed requirements for safe lifting systems (including restrictions on excavator use as cranes) into contractor engagement, pre-qualification, and contract conditions Introduce a formal approval process (e.g. permit or authorisation) for using excavators as cranes, requiring sign-off by a competent person and site management based on documented risk assessment Conduct periodic internal and external audits of compliance with the excavator-as-crane policy and procedures, with actions tracked to close-out 	Medium
2. Procurement, Hire and Plant Selection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Procurement of excavators without lifting charts, rated capacity information 	High		Medium

JOB STEP	POTENTIAL HAZARDS	IR	CONTROL MEASURES	RR
SPECIFIC WORK STEPS	HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE	INITIAL RISK	SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS	RESIDUAL RISK
	<p>or manufacturer approval for use as a crane</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selection of excavators that are not designed, configured or fitted with the necessary safety systems to perform lifting (e.g. no load charts, no overload protection, no certified lifting point) • Use of generic hire agreements that do not specify lifting duties, resulting in mismatched plant capability and intended use • Failure to obtain and retain plant documentation, including manuals, compliance plates, proof of inspection and design registrations where applicable • Inadequate evaluation of ground conditions, reach, lifting radius and load characteristics when selecting the size and configuration of excavator to be used as a crane • Using quick hitches or attachments not rated or certified for lifting loads, or with incompatible load ratings • Cost-driven decision-making that favours using available excavators in lieu of appropriate cranes without a structured risk-based justification • Poor specification of safety features at procurement, such as rated lifting points, check valves, load moment indicators (LMI) or similar limiting devices 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish procurement standards that explicitly address the potential use of excavators for lifting, requiring manufacturer confirmation of suitability and provision of rated load charts and lifting configuration requirements • Implement a pre-purchase and pre-hire evaluation checklist that includes verification of lifting suitability, lifting points, compliance plates, inspection and documentation for each excavator • Require that any excavator intended for lifting duties is fitted with certified lifting lugs or rated lifting points, and has appropriate auxiliary safety systems (e.g. overload protection, slew and boom limiting where required) • Prohibit the use of excavators as cranes where manufacturer guidance or documentation does not support lifting, and require alternative plant (e.g. mobile crane) in these cases • Embed technical sign-off by a competent person (e.g. engineer or plant specialist) in the selection of excavators and attachments for lifting applications, including review of design registrations where relevant • Specify in hire and purchase contracts that the plant may be used for lifting, and require the supplier to provide proof of suitability, maintenance history and inspection records prior to delivery • Ensure that only rated and compatible lifting attachments, quick hitches and lifting accessories are approved for use, and maintain a register of approved combinations with clear load rating information • Include whole-life considerations in procurement decisions (e.g. availability of service agents, spares, inspection providers, access to technical information), to support ongoing safe lifting operations • Document clear organisational criteria for when a crane must be used instead of an excavator (e.g. lifts above a certain load, radius, complexity or risk category) and integrate these criteria into procurement and planning decisions 	
3. Design, Engineering and Attachment Configuration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of non-engineered or uncertified lifting points, lifting lugs, or home-made attachments on the excavator • Inappropriate quick hitch or coupler systems that are not positively locked or not certified for lifting applications • Lack of engineering verification that the boom, arm, hydraulics and slew system are capable of the intended lifting loads and duty cycles 	High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Require that all lifting points, lugs and specialised lifting attachments for excavators are designed, certified and documented by a competent engineer in accordance with relevant Australian Standards • Mandate the use of only manufacturer-approved or engineer-certified quick hitches and couplers for lifting, with explicit confirmation that they are rated for the anticipated loads and modes of operation • Obtain engineering verification of the excavator's lifting capacity, including allowable working radii, boom and arm configurations, permissible slopes and load cases, and ensure these are documented and accessible to operators and planners 	Medium

JOB STEP	POTENTIAL HAZARDS	IR	CONTROL MEASURES	RR
SPECIFIC WORK STEPS	HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE	INITIAL RISK	SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS	RESIDUAL RISK
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Failure to consider dynamic effects, side loading, and out-of-radius loading in system design, leading to instability or structural failure Attachment configuration that changes the centre of gravity or rated capacity of the excavator without updated load charts or engineering assessment No formal management of change process when new attachments or ancillary lifting gear (e.g. grabs, clamshell buckets, pipe lifters) are introduced Inadequate design of communication and signalling systems between operator and dogger/rigger, resulting in miscommunication during lifts Absence of engineered control measures for working near services, structures or public spaces when using an excavator as a crane 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop and maintain engineered load charts and configuration diagrams that reflect actual attachment configurations, and prohibit deviations unless reviewed and approved through a formal engineering process Implement a management of change procedure for any modification to excavators or lifting attachments, including risk assessment, engineering review, documentation updates and worker communication Standardise signalling and communication methods (e.g. AS 2550 hand signals, dedicated radio channels) and incorporate these into procedural training and pre-lift planning Ensure engineering assessments explicitly address interaction with adjacent structures, underground and overhead services, exclusion zones and potential collapse zones when excavators are used as cranes Maintain an engineering file for each excavator used for lifting, containing certification, design calculations where applicable, load charts, modification history and records of technical advice 	
4. Competency, Training and Licensing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excavator operators lacking formal training in lifting operations, crane principles and load charts, despite being competent in excavation tasks Supervisors, doggers and riggers not understanding the specific limitations and risks of excavators used as cranes compared to conventional cranes Failure to ensure that high-risk work licences (e.g. dogging, rigging) are held where required for lifting operations and load slinging No formal competency assessment or verification of competency (VOC) specific to excavator lifting tasks and associated procedures Inadequate training on organisational policies, lifting plans, emergency procedures and communication protocols relating to excavator use as a crane 	High	<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	Medium

JOB STEP	POTENTIAL HAZARDS	IR	CONTROL MEASURES	RR
SPECIFIC WORK STEPS	HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE	INITIAL RISK	SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS	RESIDUAL RISK
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Over-reliance on informal on-the-job learning without documented training outcomes, leading to inconsistent practices and knowledge gaps Failure to keep training records current, including licences, equipment-specific familiarisation and refresher training Contractor personnel undertaking lifts with excavators without the principal contractor verifying licences, competencies and induction completion 		[REDACTED]	
5. Planning, Lift Engineering and Risk Assessment Systems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of formal lift planning for lifts conducted with excavators, particularly for non-routine, heavy or complex lifts Inconsistent or superficial risk assessments that do not adequately consider ground conditions, underground services, overhead obstructions, load characteristics or environmental factors No systematic process to classify lift (e.g. routine vs non-routine, simple vs complex, critical lifts) and adjust planning and control accordingly Failure to coordinate lifting operations with other site activities, leading to conflicts, congestion and exposure of other workers to lifting hazards Inadequate documentation of lifting plans, including exclusion zones, communication methods, emergency procedures and load paths Use of generic SWMS or procedures that do not address the specific risks associated with excavators being used as cranes on a particular site Poor integration of geotechnical or structural information into planning, resulting in unstable ground or insufficient bearing capacity for lifting operations 	High	[REDACTED]	Medium

JOB STEP	POTENTIAL HAZARDS	IR	CONTROL MEASURES	RR
SPECIFIC WORK STEPS	HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE	INITIAL RISK	SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS	RESIDUAL RISK
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No formal review or approval process for high-risk or complex lifts using excavators 			
6. Supervision, Coordination and Contractor Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient supervision of lifting operations involving excavators, leading to deviations from plans and procedures Unclear roles and responsibilities between principal contractor, subcontractors, plant hire companies and site supervisors in relation to lifting safety Contractor supervisors lacking knowledge of the client's policies and expectations regarding excavator use as cranes Multiple contractors operating plant simultaneously without effective coordination, increasing potential for collisions or encroachment into exclusion zones Supervisors being responsible for too many activities or work fronts to provide effective oversight of lifting operations Poor communication between shifts or work crews, leading to changes in lifting arrangements not being communicated or documented Failure to verify that hired-in plant arrives with competent operators and necessary documentation and fit-for-purpose attachments for lifting Inadequate enforcement of site rules relating to lifting, including exclusion zones, permit conditions and communication protocols 	High	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	Medium
7. Inspection, Maintenance and Plant Integrity Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate preventative maintenance of excavators used for lifting, increasing likelihood of mechanical or hydraulic failure under load Failure to conduct regular statutory inspections where required, including for high risk plant or lifting accessories associated with excavators 	High	<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	Medium

JOB STEP	POTENTIAL HAZARDS	IR	CONTROL MEASURES	RR
SPECIFIC WORK STEPS	HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE	INITIAL RISK	SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS	RESIDUAL RISK
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of systematic pre-start and post-use inspection processes that capture defects affecting lifting safety Use of worn, damaged or uncertified lifting accessories (e.g. chains, slings, shackles, hooks) with excavators Poor record-keeping for maintenance, inspections, repairs and modifications relating to plant used for lifting Repairs and adjustments carried out by unqualified persons or using non-genuine parts, compromising structural integrity or rated capacity Failure to quarantine defective plant and lifting gear from service, allowing continued use despite identified issues Hydraulic leaks, control malfunctions or stability systems not being promptly reported and addressed, particularly where they affect lifting operations 		<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	
8. Systems for Load Control, Exclusion Zones and Traffic Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate organisational standards for exclusion zones around excavators operating as cranes leading to workers or public entering hazard areas No consistent processes to design and implement traffic management plans accounting for excavator lifting operations and suspended loads Failure to manage interaction between excavators, other mobile plant and pedestrians during lifting tasks Insufficient controls for working adjacent to public roads, footpaths or occupied buildings when performing lifts with excavators Variable practices around tagging, barricading and signage for lifting zones, resulting in confusion or non-compliance No system to manage tandem lifts or interaction between multiple excavators and cranes on the same work front 	High	<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	Medium

JOB STEP	POTENTIAL HAZARDS	IR	CONTROL MEASURES	RR
SPECIFIC WORK STEPS	HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE	INITIAL RISK	SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS	RESIDUAL RISK
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate procedures for working near overhead powerlines, underground services or other critical infrastructure while using excavators as cranes Insufficient planning for unexpected load movements, swing or failure, and lack of pre-defined escape routes for workers 		[REDACTED]	
9. Environmental Conditions, Emergency Preparedness and Incident Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of formal criteria for suspending excavator lifting operations during adverse weather conditions (e.g. high wind, lightning, poor visibility, heavy rain) Inadequate emergency response planning for incidents involving excavators used as cranes, such as plant overturning, dropped loads or contact with services No clear communication and escalation pathways when unsafe conditions arise during lifting tasks Insufficient drills or training on emergency scenarios related to excavator lifting, resulting in slow or ineffective responses Failure to systematically investigate and learn from incidents, near misses and mechanical failures associated with excavators used as cranes Inadequate integration of medical, rescue capability and emergency equipment with the potential risks of lifting operations Lack of planning for environmental impacts of major incidents (e.g. hydraulic spills, structural damage to adjacent assets) during lifting 	High	[REDACTED]	Medium
10. Documentation, Records, Monitoring and Continuous Improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fragmented or incomplete documentation for excavator lifting operations, making it difficult to verify compliance or learn from past work Poor retention of lift plans, risk assessments, maintenance records and 	Medium	[REDACTED]	Low

JOB STEP	POTENTIAL HAZARDS	IR	CONTROL MEASURES	RR
SPECIFIC WORK STEPS	HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE	INITIAL RISK	SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS	RESIDUAL RISK
	<p>competency evidence related to excavator use as cranes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited monitoring of performance indicators related to lifting safety, such as near misses, non-conformances or plant defects Lack of structured internal audit or inspection programs targeting lifting operations involving excavators Inconsistent implementation of corrective and preventive actions following audits, incidents or regulatory inspections No systematic process to review and improve WHS management arrangements for excavator lifting in light of operational experience, technological changes or legislative updates Over-reliance on paper-based systems that are not standardised or centrally accessible, leading to outdated or lost information 		<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	

SAMPLE

EMERGENCY RESPONSE – CALL 000 FOR EMERGENCIES

Ensure to have an Emergency Management Plan in place as well as adequate numbers of trained first aid staff with easy access to fully stocked first aid kits, rescue equipment, material safety data sheets, adequate access to emergency communication equipment and fire-fighting equipment suitable for all classes of fire and ignition sources.

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCES

RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND CODES OF PRACTICE. DELETE THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCES FOR ANY STATE THAT ARE NOT APPLICABLE

Queensland & Australian Capital Territory

Work Health and Safety Act 2011
 Work Health and Safety Regulations 2011
 Legislation QLD: <https://www.worksafe.qld.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/work-health-and-safety-laws>
 Codes of Practice QLD: <https://www.worksafe.qld.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/codes-of-practice>
 Legislation ACT: <https://www.worksafe.act.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/acts-and-regulations>
 Codes of Practice ACT: <https://www.worksafe.act.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/codes-of-practice>

Victoria

Occupational Health and Safety Act 2004
 Occupational Health and Safety Regulations 2017
 Legislation VIC: <https://www.worksafe.vic.gov.au/occupational-health-and-safety-act-and-regulations>
 Codes of Practice VIC: <https://www.worksafe.vic.gov.au/compliance-codes-and-codes-practice>

New South Wales

Work Health and Safety Act 2011
 Work Health and Safety Regulations 2025
 Legislation NSW: <https://www.safework.nsw.gov.au/legal-obligations/legislation>
 Codes of Practice NSW: <https://www.safework.nsw.gov.au/resource-library/list-codes-of-practice>

Western Australia

Work Health and Safety Act 2020
 Work Health and Safety Regulations 2022
 Legislation Western Australia: <https://www.commerce.wa.gov.au/worksafe/legislation>
 Codes of Practice WA: <https://www.commerce.wa.gov.au/worksafe/codes-practice>

Northern Territory

Work Health and Safety (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2011
 Work Health and Safety (National Uniform Legislation) Regulation 2011
 Legislation NT: <https://worksafe.nt.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/workplace-safety-laws>
 Codes of Practice NT: <https://worksafe.nt.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/codes-of-practice>

Safe Work Australia Links

Law and Regulation (All States): <https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/law-and-regulation>
 Model Codes of Practice: <https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/resources-publications/model-codes-of-practice>

South Australia

Work Health and Safety Act 2012 (SA)
 Work Health and Safety Regulations 2012 (SA)
 Legislation for SA: <https://www.safework.sa.gov.au/resources/legislation>
 Codes of Practice for SA: <https://www.safework.sa.gov.au/workplaces/codes-of-practice#COPs>

Model Codes of Practice

- Managing noise and preventing hearing loss at work
- Confined spaces
- Labelling of workplace hazardous chemicals
- Managing risks of hazardous chemicals in the workplace
- Welding processes
- First aid in the workplace
- Managing the risk of falls at workplaces
- Hazardous manual tasks
- Managing the risk of falls in housing construction
- Managing electrical risks in the workplace
- Demolition work
- Excavation work
- Work health and safety consultation, cooperation and coordination
- Managing the work environment and facilities
- How to manage work health and safety risks
- Managing risks of plant in the workplace
- Construction work

Tasmania

Work Health and Safety Act 2012
 Work Health and Safety (Transitional and Consequential Provisions) Act 2012
 Work Health and Safety Regulations 2012
 Work Health and Safety (Transitional) Regulations 2012
 Legislation for TAS: <https://worksafe.tas.gov.au/topics/laws-and-compliance/acts-and-regulations>
 Codes of Practice for TAS: <https://worksafe.tas.gov.au/topics/laws-and-compliance/codes-of-practice>

Details of permits, licenses or access required by regulatory bodies (add or delete as required):

- Permits from local council
- Authorisation to commence work
- Any required documents.