

Electrical Testing

| | | | |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--|
| Business Name: | | ABN: | |
| Business Address: | | | |
| Contact Person: | Phone: | Email: | |

THIS RISK ASSESSMENT IS APPROVED BY THE PCBU ON THIS PROJECT

Under the Work Health and Safety Regulation (WHS Regulation), a person conducting a business or undertaking (PCBU) is required to ensure that a RISK ASSESSMENT is prepared before the proposed work starts.

| | | |
|------------|--------|-------|
| Full Name: | | |
| Signature: | Title: | Date: |

CLIENT OR PRINCIPAL CONTRACTOR DETAILS

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Client: | SCOPE OF WORKS |
| Project Name: | |
| Project Address: | |
| Project Manager: | |
| Contact Phone: | |
| Date Risk Assessment supplied to Project Manager: | |



| RISK MATRIX | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|------------|------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| LIKELIHOOD | INSIGNIFICANT | MINOR | MODERATE | MAJOR | CATASTROPHIC | SCORE | ACTION | HIERARCHY OF CONTROLS | |
| ALMOST CERTAIN | 3 HIGH | 3 HIGH | 4 ACUTE | 4 ACUTE | 4 ACUTE | | | Elimination Remove the hazard. | |
| LIKELY | 2 MODERATE | 3 HIGH | 3 HIGH | 4 ACUTE | 4 ACUTE | 4A ACUTE | DO NOT PROCEED | Substitution Replace the hazard. | |
| POSSIBLE | 1 LOW | 2 MODERATE | 3 HIGH | 4 ACUTE | 4 ACUTE | 3H HIGH | Review before work starts. | Isolation Isolate People from the hazard | |
| UNLIKELY | 1 LOW | 1 LOW | 2 MODERATE | 3 HIGH | 4 ACUTE | 2M MODERATE | Ensure control measures in place. | Engineering Isolate the hazard | |
| RARE | 1 LOW | 1 LOW | 2 MODERATE | 3 HIGH | 3 HIGH | 1L LOW | Monitor and keep records. | Administrative Change | |
| | | | | | | | | PPE | |

| Risk Rating & Required Action: | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 4A | Stop work. The risk is intolerable. Eliminate the hazard or redesign the activity before proceeding. A Safe Work Method Statement (SWMS) or higher-level authorisation is required. |
| 3H | Review and approve additional controls before task starts. Senior supervisor sign-off needed. |
| 2M | Ensure all nominated controls are in place and effective. Proceed with caution; monitor conditions. |
| 1L | Proceed, following standard operating procedures. Monitor and keep records. |

| Consequence Scale: | | | |
|----------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Consequence | People (injury/illness) | Project / Assets | Compliance / Reputation |
| Catastrophic | Fatality or permanent total disability | project shutdown | Significant regulator intervention; criminal prosecution |
| Major | Serious injury/illness (hospital > 5 days) | critical delay | Improvement notice; major media coverage |
| Moderate | Medical-treatment injury; lost-time > 1 day | moderate delay | Minor breach; adverse client comment |
| Minor | First-aid only, no lost time | negligible delay | Isolated non-conformance |
| Insignificant | No injury | no schedule impact | Deviation caught and corrected on site |

Notes on Hierarchy of Controls:
Remember to apply controls in the preferred order shown by the coloured pyramid:

1. **Eliminate**
2. **Substitute**
3. **Isolate**
4. **Engineering**
5. **Administrative**
6. **PPE**

Always document **why** a lower-order control is accepted if elimination or substitution is not reasonably practicable.

aligned with Safe Work Australia's Managing the risk of fatigue at work (2023) and ISO 45001:2018 clauses 6–8.

| JOB STEP | POTENTIAL HAZARDS | IR | CONTROL MEASURES | RR |
|--|--|--------------|--|---------------|
| SPECIFIC WORK STEPS | HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE | INITIAL RISK | SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS | RESIDUAL RISK |
| 1. Governance, Legal Compliance and WHS Duties | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of clear organisational policy for electrical testing, inspection and test and tag activities leading to inconsistent practices across sites Failure to identify and document all legal obligations under the WHS Act 2011, WHS Regulations and relevant Australian Standards (e.g. AS/NZS 3000, AS/NZS 3017, AS/NZS 3760, AS/NZS 4836) Inadequate allocation of WHS responsibilities for managing electrical testing risks at officer, PCBU, line manager and supervisor levels Insufficient due diligence by officers in verifying that electrical testing risks are being identified, controlled and reviewed No formal risk assessment process specific to electrical testing (including testing live electrical systems, insulation resistance tests and periodic electrical inspection) Poor integration of electrical testing requirements into the broader safety management system and contractor management framework Inadequate consultation with workers and Health and Safety Representatives on electrical testing policies and procedures Failure to ensure PCBUs with overlapping duties (e.g. host employer, labour hire, contractors) coordinate electrical testing controls Inadequate process for reviewing incidents, near misses or non-conformances arising from electrical testing operations | High | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop and approve a company-wide Electrical Safety and Testing Policy that defines scope (including test and tag, testing live electrical systems, insulation resistance tests, periodic electrical inspection, routine electricity safety tests and energy efficiency testing), objectives and responsibilities Undertake and document a legal compliance review against WHS Act 2011, WHS Regulations and applicable Australian Standards (e.g. AS/NZS 3000 Wiring Rules, AS/NZS 3017 Electrical Installations – Verification guidelines, AS/NZS 3760 In-service safety inspection and testing of electrical equipment, AS/NZS 4836 Safe working on or near low-voltage electrical installations and equipment) and update procedures accordingly Define and document WHS roles, responsibilities and accountabilities for electrical testing at all organisational levels, including PCBU, officers, managers, supervisors, electrical workers and contractors Implement an officer due diligence framework requiring regular reporting on electrical testing performance, risk controls, competency levels and audit findings Develop an electrical-specific risk management procedure that mandates formal risk assessments for checking electrical connections once installed, test and tag activities, testing live electrical systems, and use of multimeters and voltmeters Integrate electrical testing controls into the organisation's WHS management system (e.g. risk registers, safe work procedures, permit to work systems, change management and contractor management processes) Establish formal consultation and communication processes with workers and HSRs on development, implementation and review of electrical testing systems and procedures Implement documented arrangements with other PCBUs at shared workplaces to coordinate testing and inspection schedules, isolation arrangements and responsibilities for test and tag of electrical tools and appliances Establish a structured incident and near miss reporting and investigation process specifically capturing electrical testing and tagging events, with root cause analysis and action tracking Schedule periodic management reviews (e.g. annually) of the electrical testing risk management framework, including analysis of audit results, incident trends and technology changes | Medium |
| 2. Competency, Licensing and Training Systems | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unlicensed or inadequately qualified personnel performing electrical testing and tagging, testing live electrical systems, or testing wiring installations | High | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Define and document competency profiles and minimum qualification/licensing requirements for all roles involved in electrical testing, including licensed electricians, electrical engineers, authorised electrical testers and test and tag technicians | Medium |

| JOB STEP | POTENTIAL HAZARDS | IR | CONTROL MEASURES | RR |
|---|--|--------------|--|---------------|
| SPECIFIC WORK STEPS | HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE | INITIAL RISK | SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS | RESIDUAL RISK |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient competency in using multimeters, voltmeters and specialised test instruments for insulation resistance tests and energy efficiency testing Lack of formal verification of qualifications, licences and currency for electrical workers and testers (including external test and tag providers) Inadequate induction and refresher training covering electrical hazards, shock and arc flash risks, and specific testing procedures No structured assessment of practical competence in setting up testing equipment, verifying de-energisation and applying correct testing methods Failure to provide training on the limitations and correct operation of tester testing equipment and fault-finding instruments Inadequate supervision and mentoring arrangements for new or inexperienced staff performing tester testing operations and routine electricity safety tasks Lack of training in reading and interpreting test results, test reports and compliance labels No competency requirements for persons authorising or reviewing periodic electrical inspection reports | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement a pre-engagement verification process to check licences, qualifications, training records and experience for employees and contractors performing electrical testing and tagging activities Develop a structured training program covering electrical hazards, safe working near live parts, AS/NZS 4836 principles, correct use of multimeters, voltmeters and insulation resistance testers, and safe application of test instruments on live and de-energised systems Introduce formal practical competency assessments and observations for staff and contractors who conduct test and tag of electrical equipment, testing installations, and use specialist tester testing equipment Mandate refresher training at defined intervals (e.g. every 2-3 years or when standards are updated) and following any electrical incident or near miss related to testing activities Include training on the organisation's specific procedures for setting up testing equipment, verifying isolation, lock-out-tagout, and requirements for testing live electrical systems only as a last resort Provide detailed training on the limitations, maintenance and calibration requirements of test instruments, including the interpretation of insulation resistance and continuity readings Ensure supervisors and managers receive training on supervising electrical testing work, reviewing test reports, and recognising non-compliant practices Maintain a centralised competency and training matrix for all persons involved in electrical testing, with automatic alerts for upcoming licence expiries and refresher requirements Restrict access to testing instruments and authorisation to conduct testing and tagging to persons who have been formally assessed as competent and authorised in writing | |
| 3. Equipment Procurement, Specification and Suitability | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Procurement of electrical testing equipment (multimeters, voltmeters, insulation resistance testers, portable appliance testers) that is not compliant with relevant Australian Standards or not fit for the intended environment Use of test instruments without appropriate safety ratings (e.g. CAT rating, IP rating) for testing live electrical systems and wiring installations Inadequate specification of accessories such as test leads, probes and clamps, | High | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop an electrical testing equipment procurement standard that mandates compliance with relevant Australian Standards and appropriate CAT and IP ratings for the anticipated testing environment Specify minimum technical and safety requirements for multimeters, voltmeters, insulation resistance testers, portable appliance testers and related accessories, including double-insulated leads, shrouded connectors and finger guards Require independent verification (e.g. certificates of compliance, documentation from reputable suppliers) before purchasing new testing and tagging equipment or replacing existing instruments Standardise, where practicable, the types and models of key testing instruments across the organisation to reduce variation and simplify training, maintenance and calibration systems | Low |

| JOB STEP | POTENTIAL HAZARDS | IR | CONTROL MEASURES | RR |
|---|--|--------------|--|---------------|
| SPECIFIC WORK STEPS | HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE | INITIAL RISK | SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS | RESIDUAL RISK |
| | <p>leading to exposure to live parts during tester testing operations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure to standardise brands and models of testing equipment, increasing training complexity and risk of misuse • Purchase of low-quality or counterfeit test and tag equipment with unreliable safety features or inaccurate readings • Lack of consideration of ergonomic design and usability when selecting testing and tagging equipment, increasing manual handling and repetitive strain risks • Use of non-RCD protected outlets or unsuitable power supplies when conducting energy efficiency testing or routine electricity safety tests • Procurement of equipment without clear manufacturer instructions, safety information and calibration requirements | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include usability, ergonomics and durability criteria in the selection process to ensure testers can safely perform periodic electrical inspection and test and tag of tools and appliances in typical work environments • Ensure all electrical testing equipment is supplied with current manufacturer operating manuals, safety warnings and calibration specifications; store these documents in a central, accessible repository • Prohibit procurement of test equipment and accessories from unverified online marketplaces where authenticity and compliance cannot be assured • Require that all test and tag instruments used for on-service safety testing of equipment comply with AS/NZS 3760 requirements • Include requirements for integrated safety features (e.g. fused leads, over-voltage protection, warning indicators) when testing live electrical systems or high-energy circuits | |
| 4. Testing Equipment Maintenance, Calibration and Integrity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of out-of-calibration multimeters, voltmeters, insulation resistance testers and portable appliances leading to inaccurate results and unsafe equipment remaining in service • Damaged test leads or connectors exposing workers to live parts during tester testing operations for test and tag activities • No formal inspection schedule for testing instruments prior to use, resulting in undetected faults or degradation • Inadequate documentation and tracking of calibration, repairs and servicing of testing and tagging equipment • Continued use of testing instruments beyond their recommended service life or after repeated overload events • Lack of clear process for quarantining, tagging out and replacing defective testing instruments | High | <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> | Medium |

| JOB STEP | POTENTIAL HAZARDS | IR | CONTROL MEASURES | RR |
|--|--|--------------|--|---------------|
| SPECIFIC WORK STEPS | HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE | INITIAL RISK | SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS | RESIDUAL RISK |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Failure to update firmware or software on digital test equipment, compromising performance and safety functions | | [Redacted] | |
| 5. Procedures for Electrical Testing, Verification and Isolation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Absence of standardised procedures for setting up testing equipment, checking electrical connections once installed, and testing live electrical systems Inconsistent application of isolation, lockout and tagout processes prior to conducting insulation resistance tests, continuity tests or other verification on wiring installations Testing conducted on energised circuits when reasonably practicable alternatives exist, increasing the risk of electric shock or arc flash Failure to verify de-energisation using appropriate test instruments and test before touch principles Lack of clear criteria for when equipment must be re-energised from service following fault, routine electricity safety tests or periodic electrical inspection Inadequate procedures for conducting test and tag of portable electrical tools and appliances in different risk categories and environments Inconsistent methods for performing energy efficiency testing that may compromise electrical safety or interfere with protective devices | High | [Redacted] | Medium |
| 6. Work Planning, Scheduling and Authorisation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unplanned or ad hoc electrical testing creating clashes with production, maintenance or construction activities and increasing the risk of inadvertent energisation Testing scheduled during peak load conditions, making safe isolation and testing live electrical systems more complex | High | [Redacted] | Medium |

| JOB STEP | POTENTIAL HAZARDS | IR | CONTROL MEASURES | RR |
|---|---|--------------|---|---------------|
| SPECIFIC WORK STEPS | HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE | INITIAL RISK | SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS | RESIDUAL RISK |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient time allocated for thorough testing, verification and documentation, leading to shortcuts or omissions • Testing activities not communicated to affected workers, contractors or other PCBUs on site • No formal authorisation or permit for high-risk testing activities, especially work on or near live exposed parts • Failure to coordinate periodic electrical inspections and routine electricity safety tests across multiple sites or departments, resulting in overdue testing • Inadequate consideration of environmental conditions (e.g. wet areas, confined spaces, hazardous areas) during planning of testing operations | | <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> | |
| 7. Labelling, Documentation and Record Management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate or missing records of test and tag activities, periodic electrical inspections, insulation resistance tests and verification of wiring installations • Non-standard or illegible tags, labels and markings on tested equipment leading to confusion about equipment status • Records of failed tests not clearly linked to corrective actions, allowing unsafe equipment or installations to remain in service • Loss or fragmentation of testing records across different systems, spreadsheets or contractors • Lack of traceability between test results, the specific instrument used and the competent person who performed the test • Failure to retain records for the period required to demonstrate ongoing compliance and due diligence | High | <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> | Low |

| JOB STEP | POTENTIAL HAZARDS | IR | CONTROL MEASURES | RR |
|---|---|--------------|--|---------------|
| SPECIFIC WORK STEPS | HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE | INITIAL RISK | SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS | RESIDUAL RISK |
| | | | [REDACTED] | |
| 8. Contractor and Third-Party Management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of external test and tag providers or electrical contractors with inadequate systems for managing electrical testing risks • Lack of clarity over responsibilities between PCBUs for periodic electrical inspection, testing and tagging of shared equipment and common areas • Inconsistent testing methods or standards applied by different contractors across sites • Insufficient verification of contractor licences, competencies, calibration systems and insurance coverage • Contractors not following site-specific procedures for isolation, live testing authorisation, documentation and labelling • Poor communication of hazards, plant configurations and restrictions to contractors carrying out testing operations | High | [REDACTED] | Medium |
| 9. Personal Protective Equipment and Safe Work Environment Management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate specification and control of PPE requirements for performing electrical testing, including testing live electrical systems and working in switchboards • Reliance on PPE instead of higher-order controls such as isolation and engineering protections • Poor condition or inappropriate rating of insulating gloves, clothing, eye and face protection used during electrical test operations • Testing conducted in poorly controlled environments, such as wet or confined spaces, increasing the risk of electric shock | High | [REDACTED] | Medium |

| JOB STEP | POTENTIAL HAZARDS | IR | CONTROL MEASURES | RR |
|--|--|--------------|--|---------------|
| SPECIFIC WORK STEPS | HAZARDS THAT MAY ARISE | INITIAL RISK | SPECIFIC MEASURES TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE OR CONTROL THE RISKS | RESIDUAL RISK |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cluttered work areas around switchboards or panels where testing and verification are performed, creating trip and contact hazards | | [REDACTED] | |
| 10. Emergency Preparedness, Incident Response and Continuous Improvement | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of specific emergency response procedures for electrical incidents occurring during testing and verification activities Inadequate provision and maintenance of first aid resources suitable for electrical shock and burns Workers and supervisors not trained in responding to electrical accidents, including not touching live casualties and safe isolation before rescue Near misses and minor incidents during electrical testing not reported or investigated, limiting organisational learning Failure to review and update electrical testing systems following incidents, legislative changes or updates to Australian Standards | High | [REDACTED] | Medium |
| | | | | |

SAMPLE

EMERGENCY RESPONSE – CALL 000 FOR EMERGENCIES

Ensure to have an Emergency Management Plan in place as well as adequate numbers of trained first aid staff with easy access to fully stocked first aid kits, rescue equipment, material safety data sheets, adequate access to emergency communication equipment and fire-fighting equipment suitable for all classes of fire and ignition sources.

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCES

RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND CODES OF PRACTICE. DELETE THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCES FOR ANY STATE THAT ARE NOT APPLICABLE

Queensland & Australian Capital Territory

Work Health and Safety Act 2011
 Work Health and Safety Regulations 2011
 Legislation QLD: <https://www.worksafe.qld.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/work-health-and-safety-laws>
 Codes of Practice QLD: <https://www.worksafe.qld.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/codes-of-practice>
 Legislation ACT: <https://www.worksafe.act.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/acts-and-regulations>
 Codes of Practice ACT: <https://www.worksafe.act.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/codes-of-practice>

Victoria

Occupational Health and Safety Act 2004
 Occupational Health and Safety Regulations 2017
 Legislation VIC: <https://www.worksafe.vic.gov.au/occupational-health-and-safety-act-and-regulations>
 Codes of Practice VIC: <https://www.worksafe.vic.gov.au/compliance-codes-and-codes-practice>

New South Wales

Work Health and Safety Act 2011
 Work Health and Safety Regulations 2025
 Legislation NSW: <https://www.safework.nsw.gov.au/legal-obligations/legislation>
 Codes of Practice NSW: <https://www.safework.nsw.gov.au/resource-library/list-codes-of-practice>

Western Australia

Work Health and Safety Act 2020
 Work Health and Safety Regulations 2022
 Legislation Western Australia: <https://www.commerce.wa.gov.au/worksafe/legislation>
 Codes of Practice WA: <https://www.commerce.wa.gov.au/worksafe/codes-practice>

Northern Territory

Work Health and Safety (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2011
 Work Health and Safety (National Uniform Legislation) Regulation 2011
 Legislation NT: <https://worksafe.nt.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/workplace-safety-laws>
 Codes of Practice NT: <https://worksafe.nt.gov.au/laws-and-compliance/codes-of-practice>

Safe Work Australia Links

Law and Regulation (All States): <https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/law-and-regulation>
 Model Codes of Practice: <https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/resources-publications/model-codes-of-practice>

South Australia

Work Health and Safety Act 2012 (SA)
 Work Health and Safety Regulations 2012 (SA)
 Legislation for SA: <https://www.safework.sa.gov.au/resources/legislation>
 Codes of Practice for SA: <https://www.safework.sa.gov.au/workplaces/codes-of-practice#COPs>

Model Codes of Practice

- Managing noise and preventing hearing loss at work
- Confined spaces
- Labelling of workplace hazardous chemicals
- Managing risks of hazardous chemicals in the workplace
- Welding processes
- First aid in the workplace
- Managing the risk of falls at workplaces
- Hazardous manual tasks
- Managing the risk of falls in housing construction
- Managing electrical risks in the workplace
- Demolition work
- Excavation work
- Work health and safety consultation, cooperation and coordination
- Managing the work environment and facilities
- How to manage work health and safety risks
- Managing risks of plant in the workplace
- Construction work

Tasmania

Work Health and Safety Act 2012
 Work Health and Safety (Transitional and Consequential Provisions) Act 2012
 Work Health and Safety Regulations 2012
 Work Health and Safety (Transitional) Regulations 2012
 Legislation for TAS: <https://worksafe.tas.gov.au/topics/laws-and-compliance/acts-and-regulations>
 Codes of Practice for TAS: <https://worksafe.tas.gov.au/topics/laws-and-compliance/codes-of-practice>

Details of permits, licenses or access required by regulatory bodies (add or delete as required):

- Permits from local council
- Authorisation to commence work
- Any required documents.